SCHOOL, DISTRICT, AND STATE PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT

**OCTOBER MEMBERSHIP** 

READINESS TO START SCHOOL

**GRADUATION RATE AND DROPOUT RATE** 

POSTSECONDARY FOLLOW-UP DATA

STUDENT PERFORMANCE

NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS (NAEP)

**INTERNATIONAL SURVEYS** 

**TEACHERS AND STAFF** 

FLORIDA SCHOOL PERFORMANCE GRADE AND ANNUAL MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES (AMOS)

**OCTOBER MEMBERSHIP** 

The following table provides information on the composition of the student population at the school, district and state levels.

Racial/Ethnic Group		Number of Students Enrolled in October		School %		District %		e %
	Female	Male	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13
WHITE	146	199	46.6	45.7	65.9	66.8	40.9	41.6
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	56	62	15.9	16.5	6.4	6.1	22.9	23.0
HISPANIC/LATINO	96	121	29.3	29.9	20.4	19.9	30.0	29.3
ASIAN	*	14	2.8	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.6
NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	*	*	*	*	0.1		0.1	0.1
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE		*	*	*	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4
TWO OR MORE RACES	11	22	4.5	4.6	4.2	4.1	3.2	3.1
DISABLED	27	104	17.7	20.7	14.4	14.8	12.9	12.9
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	153	192	46.6	54.3	52.7	55.1	58.4	58.6
ELL	17	32	6.6	7.0	5.5	5.3	12.4	12.1
MIGRANT					0.1	0.1	0.6	0.5
FEMALE	319		43.1	40.6	48.5	48.5	48.7	48.7
MALE		421	56.9	59.4	51.5	51.5	51.4	51.4
TOTAL	740		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Note: An asterisk (*) indicates a subgroup population fev	ver than ten. A b	lank cell	indicates	zero stude	ents in the	subgroup		

# **READINESS TO START SCHOOL**

The Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener (FLKRS) is made up of a subset of the Early Childhood Observation System (ECHOS) an observational instrument that is used to monitor the skills, knowledge and behaviors a student demonstrates or needs to develop and the Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR).

Number of Students and	School %	District %	State %

	Where They Placed						
Category	2013-14	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13
ECHOS Ready	111	99	98	96	97	91	91
ECHOS Not Ready	1	1	2	4	3	9	9
Total ECHOS	112						
FAIR Ready	89	79	64	79	76	73	72
FAIR Not Ready	23	21	36	21	24	27	28
Total FAIR	112						

Note: N/A indicates no student membership for that subgroup, and # represents a population fewer than 10. Percentages are rounded to the nearest whole integer after individual categories are tabulated; therefore totals may not equal 100%.

#### GRADUATION RATE AND DROPOUT RATE

## **Federal Uniform Graduation Rate**

The graduation rate shows the percentage of students who graduated with a standard high school diploma within four years of initial entry into ninth grade. These results are used in the calculation of schools' Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). The AMO graduation rate target for all subgroups and all students is 85 percent or, if below 85 percent, an annual improvement of at least 2 percent.

	Scho	ool %	Distr	ict %	State %	
	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12
ALL STUDENTS			75.9	76.6	75.6	74.5
WHITE			75.4	76.5	80.5	79.4
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN			77.2	76.5	64.6	63.7
HISPANIC/LATINO			76.5	73.7	74.9	72.9
ASIAN			89.7	94.7	88.4	88.4
NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER			#	#	88.2	62.5
AM.INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE			73.3	76.5	76.8	69.7
TWO OR MORE RACES			75.2	79.2	79.7	78.6
DISABLED			52.2	47.9	52.3	47.7
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED			64.9	65.5	67.0	65.0
ELL			60.8	59.5	57.5	56.6
MIGRANT			#	#	65.4	64.8
AT-RISK (Low 25)*			53.6	53.3	51.6	50.1
FEMALE			80.6	80.2	79.7	78.9
MALE			71.5	73.2	71.6	70.2
Note: N/A indicates no student membership for that subgr	oup, and # re	presents a	a populatio	n fewer th	an 10.	

Note: N/A indicates no student membership for that subgroup, and # represents a population fewer than 10.

# **Five-Year Graduation Rate**

The five-year graduation rate shows the percentage of students who graduated with a standard high school diploma within five years of initial entry into ninth grade.

	School %		Distri	ict %	Stat	te %	
	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	
ALL STUDENTS			78.6	72.9	76.4	72.6	
WHITE			78.1	72.6	80.9	77.8	

<sup>\*</sup> At-risk students are students whose test scores entering high school qualify for inclusion in the lowest performing 25%.

BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	79.5	72.0	66.5	61.5
HISPANIC/LATINO	77.9	72.1	75.0	71.4
ASIAN	94.7	88.4	90.0	87.7
NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	#	#	62.5	60.0
AM.INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	76.5	71.4	71.6	71.7
TWO OR MORE RACES	81.5	75.0	80.5	77.2
DISABLED	52.6	51.7	50.6	47.4
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	68.2	59.9	67.5	62.9
ELL	64.9	57.6	60.0	56.4
MIGRANT	#	#	66.7	63.3
AT-RISK (Low 25)*	57.4	51.2	53.2	49.7
FEMALE	81.8	77.7	80.5	77.0
MALE	75.6	68.3	72.4	68.4
Note: N/A indicates no student membership for that subgroup.	and # represents a populatio	n fewer tha	an 10.	

Note: N/A indicates no student membership for that subgroup, and # represents a population fewer than 10.

\*At-risk students are students whose test scores entering high school qualify for inclusion in the lowest performing 25%.

# **High School Dropout Rate**

Dropouts are students who leave school before graduation and do not enroll in another institution or educational program before the end of the school year. Percentages show by race and gender the proportion of students who dropped out of school from the total enrollment in grades 9 through 12.

	School %		Distri	ct %	State %	
Racial/Ethnic Group	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12	2012-13	2011-12
WHITE	N/A	N/A	1.0	1.1	1.5	1.4
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	N/A	N/A	0.7	1.0	3.4	3.1
HISPANIC/LATINO	N/A	N/A	0.9	0.8	1.9	1.9
ASIAN	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.2	0.6	0.6
NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	1.7	2.2
AM.INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	N/A	N/A	0.8	4.5	2.4	2.4
TWO OR MORE RACES	N/A	N/A	0.9	0.8	1.7	1.3
FEMALE	N/A	N/A	0.8	0.9	1.7	1.6
MALE	N/A	N/A	1.1	1.2	2.4	2.2
TOTAL	N/A	N/A	0.9	1.0	1.9	1.9
Note: N/A indicates no student membership for that subgroup	, and # rep	oresents a	population	n fewer tha	an 10.	

# POSTSECONDARY FOLLOW-UP DATA

Shown in the tables are the reported numbers of 2009-10 (calendar year) graduates who enrolled in institutions of higher education (IHE) which include public community colleges or universities within 16 months of high school graduation.

# **College Going**

Racial/Ethic Group	Number of Standard Diplomas Earned in 2009- 2010	in IHE* within 16 Months of Earning a Regular High	Number of Graduates Enrolled in IHE* in Florida within 16 Months of Earning a Regular High School Diploma	%	District %	State %
WHITE					56	59
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN					59	55

HISPANIC/LATINO					53	59
ASIAN					77	68
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE					60	59
NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	#	#	#	#	#	#
OTHER					56	58
DISABLED					40	43
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED					44	52
ELL					47	50
MIGRANT					#	42
FEMALE					60	62
MALE					52	54
UNKNOWN	#	#	#	#	#	#
TOTAL					56	58

# **College Credit-Accumulation**

Number of Graduates Enrolled in IHE* in Florida within 16 Months of Earning a Regular High School Diploma	Number of Those Who Completed at Least One Year's Worth of College Credit within Two Years of Enrollment in an IHE*	%	District %	State %
			52	54
			57	62
			75	81
			#	61
#	#	#	#	#
			56	64
			44	47
			55	56
			54	62
			#	56
			67	68
			59	60
#	#	#	#	#
			64	64
	in Florida within 16 Months of Earning a Regular High School Diploma  #	in Florida within 16 Months of Earning a Regular High School Diploma  # # # ##	in Florida within 16 Months of Earning a Regular High School Diploma  # # # # # #	in Florida within 16 Months of Earning a Regular High School Diploma    Least One Year's Worth of College Credit within Two Years of Enrollment in an IHE*   %   %   65

\*IHE in any state.

#### STUDENT PERFORMANCE

## Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test, (FCAT) 2.0

The FCAT 2.0 measures student performance in writing, science, reading and mathematics and is aligned to Florida's Next Generation Sunshine State Standards.

# Florida End-of-Course (EOC) Assessments

In addition to FCAT 2.0 and FAA scores in each subject area, Florida's 2012-13 and 2013-14 accountability results in mathematics include Algebra 1 and Geometry EOC Assessment scores. Florida's 2012-13 and 2013-14 accountability results in science include Biology 1 EOC Assessment results. The Florida EOC Assessments are part of Florida's Next Generation Strategic Plan for the purpose of increasing student achievement and improving college and career readiness. EOC assessments are computer-based, criterion-referenced assessments that measure the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards for specific courses, as outlined in their course descriptions.

# Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) for Students with Disabilities

The FAA is designed for students whose participation in the general statewide assessment (FCAT 2.0 and EOC) is not appropriate, even with accommodations. The FAA measures student academic performance on the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards Access Points (NGSSS-AP) in language arts (reading, writing), mathematics and science.

# **Accountability Assessment Results by Subject**

Assessment results in the following tables reflect FCAT 2.0 data combined with FAA data for reading and writing. For mathematics and science, results include FCAT 2.0, FAA and EOC assessment results, as applicable. Results show proficiency attainment for students who were in attendance during both semesters of the school year.

# **Writing Assessment**

For this measure, FCAT 2.0 Writing scores range from 1.0 (lowest) to 6.0 (highest). FAA scores have been merged with the FCAT 2.0 scores for reporting purposes. The AMO writing target for all subgroups and all students is 90 percent scoring satisfactory or above or an annual improvement of at least 1%.

	Writing Assessment Results (FCAT 2.0 and FAA)								
Percent of Students Scori	ng Satisfa	ctory and	Above						
	School %		Distr	ict %	Stat	te %			
	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13			
ALL STUDENTS	25	47	49	56	58	59			
WHITE	18	43	51	56	62	63			
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	13	39	42	50	50	50			
HISPANIC / LATINO	38	52	45	53	57	57			
ASIAN	N	N	65	76	74	75			
NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER*	N	0	N	0	N	0			
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	N	N	54	57	58	56			
TWO OR MORE RACES*	N	N	49	59	61	62			
DISABLED	17	N	29	33	35	34			
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	20	38	41	47	52	51			
ELL**	N	N	32	40	43	41			
MIGRANT*	N	N	28	35	44	43			
LOWEST 25%†	26	22	10	29					
FEMALE*	27	49	57	64	65	66			
MALE*	23	45	42	48	52	52			
*Indicatos subgroups not included as congrete sub population	no in rono	ting Apply	al Magaur	able Object	tivoo (ANA	Oa) for			

<sup>\*</sup>Indicates subgroups not included as separate sub-populations in reporting Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) for ESEA compliance. Note: An 'N' indicates that no test results were reported.

†Outcomes are based on writing results for students identified in the Low 25% for reading. The Low 25% target in Florida's school grades system, which is calculated for reading and math, is based on individual student learning gains –

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes students currently enrolled in ESOL programs and English language learners who have exited ESOL within two years.

the percentage of students making gains. Students must have current and prior-year test scores and be enrolled for a full academic year to qualify for inclusion in learning gains.

# **Reading, Mathematics and Science Assessments**

On EOC assessments and the FCAT 2.0 reading, mathematics and science tests, students can attain one of five possible achievement levels, ranging from Level 1 (lowest) to Level 5 (highest), with scores at Level 3 or higher designated as proficient. The FAA is scored on nine performance levels, with FAA Level 4 establishing the minimum level for proficiency.

FAA scores have been merged with the FCAT 2.0 scores for reporting purposes. For more information on Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), refer to the "Florida School Performance Grade and AMOs for ESEA Reporting" section below.

	Reading	Assessme	nt Resu	ılts (FCAT	2.0 and FA	<b>A</b> )			
	Percent o	f Students	Scoring	Satisfact	ory and Ab	ove			
		School %		District %			State %		
	2013-14 Results	Annual Objective	% Tested	2013-14 Results	Annual Objective	% Tested	2013-14 Results	Annual Objective	% Tested
ALL STUDENTS	68	73	100	59	68	99	58	68	98
WHITE	75	78	100	61	70	99	70	77	98
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	63	60	100	44	56	98	39	53	97
HISPANIC/LATINO	62	72	100	52	63	99	55	65	98
ASIAN	80	N	100	78	81	100	78	81	99
NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER*	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	N	N	N	52	63	97	58	66	97
TWO OR MORE RACES*	47	N	100	58	N	99	64	N	98
DISABLED	34	52	100	27	47	99	29	47	99
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	62	66	100	48	60	97	48	59	96
ELL**	59	52	100	29	46	98	34	49	98
MIGRANT*	N	N	N	14	N	98	34	N	96
LOWEST 25%†	26	N	0	10	N	0		N	
FEMALE*	75	N	100	62	N	99	61	N	98
MALE*	62	N	100	55	N	98	56	N	98

<sup>\*</sup>Indicates subgroups not included as separate sub-populations in reporting Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) for ESEA compliance. Note: An 'N' indicates that no test results were reported.

†There is no AMO target for the Low 25% subgroup based on performance targets. The Low 25% target in Florida's school grades system is based on individual student learning gains – the percentage of students making gains. In Florida's school grading system, students are classified in the lowest performing 25% based on prior-year test scores and inclusion in learning gains calculations. Students must have current and prior-year test scores and be enrolled for a full academic year to qualify for inclusion in learning gains.

Note: An 'N' indicates that no test results were reported.

		Mathematics Assessment Results (FCAT 2.0, EOCs and FAA)								
		Perce	ent of St	udents So	coring Satis	factory	and Abo	ve		
		School % District % State %								
	2013-14 Results	Annual Objective	% Tested	2013-14 Results	Annual Objective	% Tested	2013-14 Results	Annual Objective	% Tested	
ALL STUDENTS	54	66	100	57	63	98	61	66	98	
WHITE	58	68	100	60	64	99	70	74	98	
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	47	52	100	41	52	98	43	53	97	
HISPANIC/LATINO	52	67	100	51	59	98	58	64	98	
ASIAN	70	N	100	83	82	100	85	85	99	

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes English language learners currently enrolled in ESOL programs and English language learners who have exited ESOL within two years.

NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER*	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	N	N	N	52	60	97	61	66	97
TWO OR MORE RACES*	27	N	100	59	N	98	63	N	98
DISABLED	36	52	100	29	45	99	32	48	98
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	48	61	100	46	55	97	51	59	96
ELL**	41	59	100	33	46	98	42	54	98
MIGRANT*	N	N	N	25	N	98	46	N	96
LOWEST 25%†	15	N	0	19	N	0		N	
FEMALE*	55	N	100	58	N	99	61	N	98
MALE*	52	N	100	57	N	98	60	N	98

<sup>\*</sup>Indicates subgroups not included as separate sub-populations in reporting Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) for ESEA compliance. Note: An 'N' indicates that no test results were reported.

Note: An 'N' indicates that no test results were reported.

		Science	e Asses	sment Re	sults (FCA	Γ 2.0, E0	OCs and F	FAA)	
		Perce	ent of St	tudents So	coring Satis	sfactory	and Abo	ve	
	;	School %		I	District %			State %	
	2013-14 Results	Annual Objective	% Tested	2013-14 Results	Annual Objective	% Tested	2013-14 Results	Annual Objective	% Tested
ALL STUDENTS	64		100	59		98	58		97
WHITE	74		100	62		98	69		98
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	56		100	44		97	38		97
HISPANIC/LATINO	55		100	51		98	54		98
ASIAN	N		N	82		100	79		99
NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER*	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	N		N	57		95	59		97
TWO OR MORE RACES*	N		N	60		99	63		97
DISABLED	67		N	32		98	32		98
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	59		100	47		96	47		96
ELL**	N		100	21		97	26		97
MIGRANT*	N		N	20		100	36		97
LOWEST 25%†	22		0	14		0			
FEMALE*	62		100	59		99	57		98
MALE*	66		100	60		98	59		97

<sup>\*</sup>Indicates subgroups not included as separate sub-populations in reporting Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) for ESEA compliance. Note: An 'N' indicates that no test results were reported.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes English language learners currently enrolled in ESOL programs and English language learners who have exited ESOL within two years.

<sup>†</sup>There is no AMO target for the Low 25% subgroup based on performance targets. The Low 25% target in Florida's school grades system is based on individual student learning gains – the percentage of students making gains. In Florida's school grading system, students are classified in the lowest performing 25% based on prior-year test scores and inclusion in learning gains calculations. Students must have current and prior-year test scores and be enrolled for a full academic year to qualify for inclusion in learning gains.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes English language learners currently enrolled in ESOL programs.

<sup>†</sup>There is no AMO target for the Low 25% subgroup based on performance targets. The Low 25% target in Florida's school grades system, which is calculated for reading and math, is based on individual student learning gains – the percentage of students making gains. In Florida's school grading system, students are classified in the lowest performing 25% based on prior-year test scores and inclusion in learning gains calculations. Students must have current and prior-year test scores and be enrolled for a full academic year to qualify for inclusion in learning gains.

# At this time, a state objective is not specified for science achievement.

Assessment Results by Grade: Percent Scoring Satisfactory or Above (FCAT 2.0 and FAA)

	Rea	ding	Ma	nth
School	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13
Grade 3	63	64	50	51
Grade 4	67	64	40	59
Grade 5	76	61	70	49
Grade 6				
Grade 7				
Grade 8				
Grade 9				
Grade 10				

	Rea	ding	Ma	ith
District	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13
Grade 3	58	59	51	52
Grade 4	63	60	55	58
Grade 5	62	63	53	57
Grade 6	62	60	54	54
Grade 7	55	59	58	57
Grade 8	58	56	53	55
Grade 9	54	56	76	72
Grade 10	57	56	59	56

	Rea	ding	Ma	ath
State Totals	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13
Grade 3	58	58	59	59
Grade 4	62	61	65	62
Grade 5	62	61	58	56
Grade 6	61	59	55	53
Grade 7	58	58	59	57
Grade 8	58	57	58	59
Grade 9	54	54	75	73
Grade 10	55	54	53	52

FCAT 2.0 is only administered to Grades 3-8 for Math.

Percentage of Students Scoring at Each FCAT 2.0 and EOC Achievement Level, 2013-14

	FCAT 2.0 SCIENCE & BIOLOGY 1 EOC																
		S	cho	ol %	6			D	istri	ict %	%		State %				
ALL GRADES	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5		L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	1	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5
ALL STUDENTS	16	27	34	11	12		16	26	31	14	14		17	26	30	13	14
WHITE		26	34				14	25	32	15	15		10	22	32	17	19
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN							28	31	24	9	8	:	29	34	25	7	5
HISPANIC/LATINO			41				21	29	30	11	9	:	20	28	29	12	10
ASIAN							4	15	25	21	35		7	15	27	19	32
NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER*																	
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE								35	30	18			15	28	32	14	11
TWO OR MORE RACES*							16	25	31	13	15		13	25	31	15	16
DISABLED							43	32	17	5	3		43	31	17	5	3
ECO. DISADVANTAGED	24	29	37				23	31	29	10	7		23	32	28	10	7
ELL**							42	37	15	4			41	34	18	4	3

MIGRANT*				57					30	36	23	7	4
FEMALE*	26	33		15	28	32	13	13	17	28	30	13	12
MALE*	28	35		17	24	29	15	15	18	25	29	14	15

Note: A blank cell indicates a subgroup too small to report or that no test results were reported.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Students enrolled in ESOL in the current year.

						F	CA	<b>AT</b> 2	2.0 F	REA	DIN	IG					
		S	cho	ol %	%			D	istri	ict %	6			St	tate	%	
ALL GRADES	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5		L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L	1	L2	L3	L4	L5
ALL STUDENTS	12	22	29	26	10		17	26	26	22	10	1	7	25	25	21	11
WHITE	10	18	28	31	14		15	25	26	23	11	1	0	20	27	27	16
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	19	23	25	23			26	31	24	14	5	2	9	33	22	12	4
HISPANIC/LATINO	14	24	32	23			21	28	26	18	7	2	0	26	26	19	9
ASIAN							6	16	24	28	26		3	15	23	29	25
NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER*																	
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE							19	30	23	19	8	1	7	27	27	21	9
TWO OR MORE RACES*							17	26	26	21	10	1	3	24	27	24	13
DISABLED	44	36					48	30	15	6	2	4	7	29	15	7	2
ECO. DISADVANTAGED	17	24	32	24			24	30	25	16	6	2	3	30	25	16	6
ELL**							38	34	18	8	2	3	5	32	21	10	2
MIGRANT*							48	34				3	4	34	21	9	3
FEMALE*	10	15	26	36	13		14	25	27	23	11	1	5	25	26	22	12
MALE*	14	28	33	18	8		20	26	25	20	9	2	0	25	25	20	10

Note: A blank cell indicates a subgroup too small to report or that no test results were reported.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Students enrolled in ESOL in the current year.

	FCAT 2.0 MATH and ALGEBRA 1 EOC															
		S	cho	ol %	%			D	istri	ct %	6	State %				
ALL GRADES	L1 L2 L3 L4 L5		L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5				
ALL STUDENTS	18	30	33	15	3		20	25	28	16	9	19	24	29	17	10
WHITE	17	27	30	21			19	25	29	17	10	12	21	31	22	14
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	24	31	35				31	31	23	10	5	30	31	26	10	3
HISPANIC/LATINO	16	33	37	11			25	27	28	13	7	20	25	30	16	9
ASIAN							6	13	26	24	30	6	12	24	25	32
NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER*																
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE							17	34	24	15	9	17	26	31	17	10
TWO OR MORE RACES*							20	24	28	18	10	16	24	30	19	12
DISABLED	40	34					50	28	16	5	2	46	27	18	6	2
ECO. DISADVANTAGED	25	29	33	13			28	29	26	12	5	25	28	29	13	6
ELL**							40	29	21	7	3	31	29	25	11	4
MIGRANT*							40	35	17			27	30	28	11	4
FEMALE*	19	27	34	15			19	26	29	16	9	17	25	30	17	11
MALE*	17	32	32	16			22	25	28	16	9	20	24	29	17	10

Note: A blank cell indicates a subgroup too small to report or that no test results were reported.

<sup>\*</sup>Indicates subgroups not included as separate sub-populations in reporting Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) for ESEA compliance.

<sup>\*</sup>Indicates subgroups not included as separate sub-populations in reporting Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) for ESEA compliance.

<sup>\*</sup>Indicates subgroups not included as separate sub-populations in reporting Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) for ESEA compliance.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Students enrolled in ESOL in the current year.

#### Recently Arrived English Language Learners (ELLs)

Florida students in ESOL programs who have been in the U.S. less than one year are required to be tested on the Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) as well as on a state mathematics assessment, but may be exempt for up to one administration of the FCAT 2.0 in reading. These students' test scores are not included in the current year's proficiency calculations for math and reading as a flexibility provision approved for Florida by the U.S. Department of Education. The ESEA SPAR chart shows the number of recently arrived ELL students who are not included in the AMO mathematics and reading proficiency calculations for 2013-14.

ELL	School	District	State						
Reading		50	13,057						
Math		55	13,478						
*Cell sizes smaller than 10 are suppressed.									

## **National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)**

Below are the 2013 NAEP state results for grades 4 and 8 in reading and mathematics. Main NAEP, conducted at the state and national level, occurs every two years in reading and mathematics, every four years in science, and periodically in writing. A representative sample of the student population participates, and each student takes only a portion of the assessment. Results are then assembled to form projected state and national scores, based on aggregated student results. NAEP does not provide school- or student-level results.

#### 1. Scale Scores

A scale score is derived from an averaging of scores of student responses to NAEP assessment items on a 0—500 point scale. Scale scores summarize the overall level of performance attained by a group of students. (NAEP does not produce scale scores for individual students.) When used in conjunction with interpretive aids, such as item maps, scale scores provide information about what a particular aggregate of students in the population knows and can do.

#### 2. Achievement Level

Achievement levels are based on scale scores and define the degree to which student performance meets expectations of what students should know and be able to do. The achievement levels are **Basic**, **Proficient**, **and Advanced**. Below Basic is also reported but not considered to be an achievement level.

The following chart compares the achievement levels between NAEP and the FCAT 2.0:

FCAT 2.0 Achievement Levels	NAEP Achievement Standards
5	Advanced
4	Proficient
2-3	Basic
1	Below Basic

## **NAEP Participation Rates for Required Subgroups**

The chart below shows, for the sample of Florida schools selected to take the grade 04 and grade 08 math and reading assessments, the percentage of Students with Disabilities (SWDs) and English language learners (ELLs) in the tested grades who were tested on each assessment. The goal established by the National Assessment Governing Board (NAGB) is 85% inclusion.

Additional information is provided on the NAEP website at <a href="http://www.nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/">http://www.nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/</a> or on the FLDOE website at <a href="http://www.fldoe.org/asp/naep/">http://www.fldoe.org/asp/naep/</a>.

	NAEP 2013 - Ir	nclusion Rates	
MATH	MATH	READING	READING

	Grade 04		Grad	le 08	Grad	e 04	Grade 08		
	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	
SWD	90	90	90	89	85	83	89	84	
ELL	93	96	87	93	89	92	86	90	

					N.A	AEP Math	2013 -	State Le	vel Resu	Its				
	% of Students		Average Scale Scores		% Below Basic		% Basic		% Proficient		% Advanced		% Basic and Above	
GRADE 04	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation
ALL STUDENTS	N/A	N/A	242	241	16	18	44	41	34	34	6	8	84	82
*														
WHITE	40	51	251	250	8	9	39	37	44	43	10	10	92	91
BLACK	22	16	228	224	28	34	52	48	19	17	1	1	72	66
HISPANIC	31	25	238	230	18	27	46	47	32	24	5	2	82	73
DISABLED	15	13	226	218	33	45	45	38	20	16	2	2	67	55
ECO. DISADVANTAGED	61	54	233	230	22	27	50	47	25	23	2	2	78	73
ELL	10	11	218	219	40	41	49	45	11	13		1	60	59
*Asian and Indian s	ubgroups	were too	small to	report.										

					N.A	EP Math	n 2013 -	State Le	vel Resu	Its				
	% of Students		Average Scale Scores		% Below Basic		% Basic		% Proficient		% Advanced		% Basic and Above	
GRADE 08	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation
ALL STUDENTS	N/A	N/A	281	284	30	27	40	39	24	26	7	8	70	73
*														
WHITE	44	53	291	293	19	17	41	39	31	33	10	11	81	83
BLACK	21	15	264	263	49	49	37	38	12	12	2	2	51	51
HISPANIC	29	23	274	271	35	38	41	41	20	18	4	3	65	62
DISABLED	12	12	255	248	59	66	31	26	9	7	1	1	41	34
ECO. DISADVANTAGED	56	50	271	270	39	39	41	41	17	17	3	3	61	61
ELL	5	5	243	245	72	69	23	25	4	4	1	1	28	31

					NAE	P Readi	ng 2013	- State L	evel Res	ults				
	% of Students		Average Scale Scores		% Below Basic		% Basic		% Proficient		% Advanced		% Basic and Above	
GRADE 04	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation
ALL STUDENTS	N/A	N/A	227	221	25	33	36	33	30	26	9	8	75	67
*														
WHITE	40	51	236	231	15	21	36	34	37	33	12	11	85	79
BLACK	22	15	212	205	43	50	37	32	17	15	3	2	57	50
HISPANIC	31	25	225	207	27	48	37	33	29	17	7	3	73	52
DISABLED	14	12	204	184	53	69	27	20	16	9	4	2	47	31
ECO. DISADVANTAGED	60	54	218	207	34	47	39	34	23	17	4	3	66	53

\*Asian and Indian subgroups were too small to report.

ELL	10	10	199	187	59	69	31	24	9	6	1	1	41	31
*Asian and Indian s	ubgroups	were too	small to	report.										

					NAE	P Readi	ng 2013	- State L	evel Res	ults				
			_	rage Scale % Below Ba Scores		w Basic	% Basic		% Proficient		% Advanced		% Basic and Above	
GRADE 08	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation	Florida	Nation
ALL STUDENTS	N/A	N/A	266	266	23	23	43	42	30	31	3	4	77	77
*														
WHITE	44	53	274	275	16	15	42	41	38	39	5	5	84	85
BLACK	21	15	254	250	34	40	47	44	18	15	1	1	66	60
HISPANIC	28	23	260	255	27	33	46	46	25	20	2	1	73	67
DISABLED	12	11	243	231	50	62	37	30	13	8			50	38
ECO. DISADVANTAGED	56	49	257	254	30	34	47	46	22	19	1	1	70	66
ELL	4	5	226	225	70	70	27	26	3	3			30	30
*Asian and Indian s	*Asian and Indian subgroups were too small to report.													

#### INTERNATIONAL SURVEYS

TIMSS is an international comparative study of student performance in mathematics and science at the fourth and eighth grade levels. It collects data on achievement, experiences, and attitudes of fourth- and eighth-grade students in the United States and students in the equivalent grades in other participating countries, as well as information on classroom and school contexts. Florida's objective is to meet the performance of the 10th ranked country.

**TIMSS 2011** 

	High Interna	tional Benchmark and Higher
	Florida	Annual Objective
Grade 4 Mathematics	47%	47%
<b>Grade 8 Mathematics</b>	31%	30%
Grade 4 Science	48%	44%
Grade 8 Science	42%	40%

PIRLS is an international comparative study of the reading literacy of young students. It collects data on the reading achievement, experiences, and attitudes of fourth-grade students in the United States and students in the equivalent of fourth grade in other participating countries, as well as information on students' classroom and school contexts. Florida's objective is to meet the performance of the 10th ranked country.

# **PIRLS 2011**

	High Interna	tional Benchmark and Higher						
	Florida Annual Objective							
Grade 4 Reading	61%	54%						

PISA is an international assessment that measures 15-year-old students' reading, mathematics, and science literacy. It assesses students' applied knowledge and skills to problems within a real-life context as they near the end of compulsory schooling. PISA makes the assumption that as students transition to adult life, they need not only to comprehend what they read or to retain particular mathematical formulas or scientific concepts, but also to know how to apply their knowledge and skills in the many different situations they will encounter in their everyday lives. Florida's objective is to meet the performance of the 10th ranked country.

## PISA 2012 Results - 15 year olds

		Level 4+
	Florida	Target - 10th Ranked Country
Mathematics	18.7%	43.1%
Science Literacy	22.1%	36.8%
Reading Literacy	25.9%	36.7%

The table shows the number and percentage of instructional staff and school-based administrators who were newly hired at this school in 2013-14.

Staff Type	Total Number for 2013-14	Number Newly Hired for 2013-14	School %	District %	State %
Instructional Staff	53	11	20.8	18.8	20.4
School-Based Administrators	2	0	0.0	24.9	26.6
Total	55	11	20.0	19.1	20.6

## The Professional Qualifications of Teachers

## **Degree Level**

This table shows the number and percentage of teachers at each degree level.

		Scho	ol %	Distri	ct %	State %		
Degree Level	Number	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	
Bachelor's Degree	41	78.8	75.0	79.5	68.6	66.0	65.5	
Master's Degree	11	21.2	25.0	20.1	30.4	31.9	32.5	
Specialist Degree				0.1	0.4	1.1	1.1	
Doctorate				0.3	0.5	1.0	1.0	
Total All Degrees	52	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

## Percentage of Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials

Florida has no uncertified teachers, although some teachers may be temporarily assigned to areas outside of their field of specialization. Data on classes taught by teachers out of field is provided in the following table.

#### In-Field and Out-of-Field Teachers

The following chart shows the percentage of core academic classes taught by teachers teaching in-field compared to the percentage of classes taught by teachers teaching out-of-field. When a teacher in a district school system is assigned teaching duties in a class dealing with subject matter that is outside the field in which the teacher is certified, outside the field that was the applicant's minor field of study, or outside the field in which the applicant has demonstrated sufficient subject area expertise, as determined by district school board policy in the subject area to be taught, that teacher is teaching "out-of-field." Core academic subjects are English, reading, language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics, government, economics, arts, history and geography.

	School %	District %	State %
Percentage of Classes with Teachers Teaching In-Field	99.7	96.7	93.8
Percentage of Classes with Teachers Teaching Out-of-Field	0.3	3.3	6.2

## Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

The chart below shows the percentage of classes not taught by "Highly Qualified Teachers" in core academic subjects as defined in federal statute. A highly qualified teacher has earned at least a bachelor's degree and holds a Florida teaching certificate with appropriate certification for each core academic area of assignment. Core academic subjects are English, reading, language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics, government, economics, arts, history and geography.

	School %	District %		State %			
Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		All Schools	High- Poverty Schools*	Low- Poverty Schools*	All Schools	High- Poverty Schools*	Low- Poverty Schools*
June	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.9	7.4	8.7	11.1
July	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	7.3	35.5
October	8.1	8.9	9.5	7.5	5.8	6.4	5.5
February	7.6	8.5	8.8	7.4	5.8	6.3	5.1
Combined All Year	7.8	8.4	9.0	7.1	5.9	6.3	5.7

<sup>\*</sup>High-poverty schools are schools ranking in the top 25% of schools based on the percentage of students eligible for free/reduced-price lunch. Low-poverty schools rank in the bottom quartile of schools based on free/reduced-price lunch enrollment. That is, low-poverty schools have the lowest enrollment in free/reduced-price lunch as a percentage of total membership.

#### FLORIDA SCHOOL PERFORMANCE GRADE AND AMOS FOR ESEA REPORTING

#### **School Performance Grade**

Public schools in Florida are graded annually based on student performance on state assessments and the percentage of students making learning gains. Schools are assigned a letter grade (A through F) corresponding with their rated performance, with grade "A" representing the highest performance rating and grade "F" representing a failed rating. A rating of "I" indicates that grading is incomplete. A grade of "N" indicates that the school is not graded. While the vast majority of Florida's schools receive a performance grade, certain types of schools are exempt from grading, including Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) facilities. High schools will receive a grade based 50 percent on state assessment-based measures and 50 percent on other measures, including graduation rates, accelerated curricula and college readiness. Those grades will not be available until near the end of the calendar year. For those schools, a "P" (pending) appears in place of their grades.

2013-14 School Performance Grade\*: C

\*Certain school grades may be subject to modification pending appeal.

## Progress of the Lowest Performing 25% of Students, 2013-14

Components of the school grade calculation include learning gains of the lowest performing students in reading and mathematics. The following table provides information on the points earned based on learning gains made by students in the lowest quartile in the current year.

School Results		
Mathematics Low 25%, Points Earned*	Reading Low 25%, Points Earned*	
2013-14	2013-14	
68	63	

<sup>\*</sup>Points are based on the weighted percentage of students making learning gains. Students who move from a lower level to level 4 are weighted at a factor of 1.1 in the numerator. Students who move from a lower level to level 5 are weighted at a factor of 1.2 in the numerator. Prior-year low performers who increased their scores by at least 33% beyond the minimum increase to qualify for gains are also weighted at a factor of 1.1 in the numerator.

For more information on school grades and grading procedures, contact your principal's office or your local school board or visit the School Grades web page at http://schoolgrades.fldoe.org.

## **Identified Schools for ESEA Flexibility**

The table below indicates how schools in this district were identified for ESEA flexibility. Focus schools are identified as schools for which the most recent grade is "D" as well as Title 1 schools graded "C" or higher for which the federal four-year graduation rate was lower than 60%. Priority schools are identified as schools for which the most recent grade is "F." Reward schools are schools that were graded "A"; improved a letter grade; were rated "Improving" in the school improvement rating system or improved a rating; or maintained a grade after having improved by two or more letter grades in the prior year.

Focus Schools, 2013-14		
District Number	School Number	School Name
51	60	CHESTER W. TAYLOR, JR. ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	61	PASCO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	71	PASCO MIDDLE SCHOOL
51	83	GULF HIGHLANDS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	102	RAYMOND B. STEWART MIDDLE SCHL
51	114	FIVAY HIGH SCHOOL
51	261	GULF MIDDLE SCHOOL
51	301	HUDSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	321	LACOOCHEE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	351	FOX HOLLOW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	401	CENTENNIAL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	451	DR. MARY GIELLA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	911	GULFSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	941	MOON LAKE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Priority Schools, 2013-14		
District Number	School Number	School Name
Reward Schools. 2012-13		

District Number	School Number	School Name
51	31	PASCO HIGH SCHOOL
51	32	TRINITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	57	SEVEN SPRINGS MIDDLE SCHOOL
51	73	JAMES W. MITCHELL HIGH SCHOOL
51	82	OAKSTEAD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	84	DOUBLE BRANCH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	85	TRINITY OAKS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	86	DR. JOHN LONG MIDDLE SCHOOL
51	90	WIREGRASS RANCH HIGH SCHOOL
51	101	SUNLAKE HIGH SCHOOL
51	110	VETERANS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	114	FIVAY HIGH SCHOOL
51	117	ODESSA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	201	CONNERTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	242	SCHWETTMAN EDUCATION CENTER
51	331	GULF HIGH SCHOOL
51	421	DEER PARK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	471	RIVER RIDGE HIGH SCHOOL
51	472	RIVER RIDGE MIDDLE SCHOOL
51	921	PINE VIEW MIDDLE SCHOOL
51	932	CALUSA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	961	LAKE MYRTLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	2061	SAND PINE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	2071	WESLEY CHAPEL ELEMENTARY SCHL
51	2081	LONGLEAF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	2091	SEVEN OAKS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
51	4302	ACADEMY AT THE FARM
51	4307	COUNTRYSIDE MONTESSORI ACADEMY
51	4323	IMAGINE SCHOOL AT LAND O' LAKES

For a statewide list of schools identified for ESEA flexibility, visit http://www.fldoe.org/esea.

## **AMOs for ESEA Reporting**

Under reporting requirements of Florida's ESEA\* flexibility waiver, Florida has included the following information in applicable sections of this annual report.

For the "all students" group and each subgroup described in ESEA section 1111(b)(2)(C)(v)(II), this report includes:

- information on student achievement at each proficiency level;
- data comparing actual achievement levels to the state's Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs);
- the percentage of students not tested;
- performance on the other academic indicator for elementary and middle schools (writing); and
- graduation rates for high schools.

Florida also includes information on progress of the lowest performing 25% of students in mathematics and reading as part of its annual reporting of AMOs.

Additional detailed information on Florida's annual reporting of AMOs in compliance with the ESEA waiver is available at http://schoolgrades.fldoe.org/default.asp.

\*ESEA is an acronym for the Elementary and Secondary Education Act.

## Notice of Availability of School Financial Report

For information on revenues and expenditures for your school and district, contact your local school board or your school's administrative office. An online listing of district offices and a directory of schools are also available at http://www.fldoe.org/schools/schoolmap\_text.asp.

Select a New Report | Select a New School | Select a New District